#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### **PCT**

REC'D 0 5 JUL 2005

PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
IH-151				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/JP2004/009598	30.06.2004	25.07.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC			
A01N47/36				
Applicant Control of the Land				
ISHIHARA SANGYO KAISHA LTD. et al.				
<ol> <li>This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	al of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:			
□ sent to the anniicant and	d to the International Bureau) a total of st	neets, as follows:		
	ption, claims and/or drawings which have ining rectifications authorized by this Auth	been amended and are the basis of this report ority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
		ity considers contain an amendment that goes		
beyond the disclosu	ire in the international application as filed,	as indicated in nom 4 of Box 110.1 and and		
b. (sent to the International	al Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and	d number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a ble form only, as indicated in the Supplemental ctrative instructions)		
sequence listing and/or  Box Relating to Sequer	tables related thereto, in computer readal nce Listing (see Section 802 of the Admini	strative Instructions).		
	•			
4. This report contains indications	s relating to the following items:			
☑ Box No. I Basis of the	opinion			
☐ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with regard to novelty, i	nventive step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with regard to novelty, in			
☐ Box No. III Non-establis ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity		o novelty, inventive step or industrial		
□ Box No. III Non-establis □ Box No. IV Lack of unity □ Box No. V Reasoned s applicability □ Box No. VI Certain doc	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard t ; citations and explanations supporting su uments cited	o novelty, inventive step or industrial		
□ Box No. III Non-establis □ Box No. IV Lack of unity □ Box No. V Reasoned s applicability □ Box No. VI Certain docs □ Box No. VII Certain defe	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard to ; citations and explanations supporting su uments cited ects in the international application	o novelty, inventive step or industrial		
□ Box No. III Non-establis □ Box No. IV Lack of unity □ Box No. V Reasoned s applicability □ Box No. VI Certain docs □ Box No. VII Certain defe	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard t ; citations and explanations supporting su uments cited	o novelty, inventive step or industrial		
□ Box No. III Non-establis □ Box No. IV Lack of unity □ Box No. V Reasoned s applicability □ Box No. VI Certain docs □ Box No. VII Certain defection obs	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard to ; citations and explanations supporting su uments cited ects in the international application servations on the international application	o novelty, inventive step or industrial		
□ Box No. III Non-establis □ Box No. IV Lack of unity □ Box No. V Reasoned s applicability □ Box No. VI Certain docs □ Box No. VII Certain defe	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard to ; citations and explanations supporting su uments cited ects in the international application servations on the international application	o novelty, inventive step or industrial ch statement		
□ Box No. III Non-establis □ Box No. IV Lack of unity □ Box No. V Reasoned s applicability □ Box No. VI Certain docs □ Box No. VII Certain defection obs	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard to ; citations and explanations supporting su uments cited ects in the international application servations on the international application	o novelty, inventive step or industrial ch statement . letion of this report		
Box No. III Non-establis Box No. IV Lack of unity Box No. V Reasoned s applicability Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe Box No. VIII Certain obs  Date of submission of the demand	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard to ; citations and explanations supporting su uments cited ects in the international application servations on the international application Date of compl	o novelty, inventive step or industrial ch statement  .  letion of this report		
Box No. III Non-establis Box No. IV Lack of unity Box No. V Reasoned s applicability Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe Box No. VIII Certain obs  Date of submission of the demand  10.12.2004  Name and mailing address of the interrection of the interrection of the demand authority:	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard to citations and explanations supporting suments cited ects in the international application servations on the international application  Date of complete of complet	o novelty, inventive step or industrial ch statement		
Box No. III Non-establis Box No. IV Lack of unity Box No. V Reasoned sapplicability Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe Box No. VIII Certain obs  Date of submission of the demand  10.12.2004  Name and mailing address of the interrupted propean Patent Office	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard to contain the international application parents on the international application parents on the international application parents of complex	o novelty, inventive step or industrial ch statement  . letion of this report		
Box No. III Non-establis Box No. IV Lack of unity Box No. V Reasoned s applicability Box No. VI Certain doc Box No. VII Certain defe Box No. VIII Certain obs  Date of submission of the demand  10.12.2004  Name and mailing address of the interrection of the interrection of the demand authority:	y of invention statement under Article 35(2) with regard to citations and explanations supporting suruments cited exts in the international application servations on the international application  Date of complete of compl	o novelty, inventive step or industrial ch statement  detion of this report  fficer		

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/009598

_	Box	No. I	Basis of the report
١.	With filed,	regard unless	to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.
	! !	which i □ inte □ pub □ inte	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, some step is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:  Innational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))  Identifying the international application (under Rule 12.4)  Innational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	ha	a haan	d to the <b>elements*</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
	Des	cription	n, Pages
	1-71		as originally filed
	Clai	ms, Nu	mbers
	1-22	2	as originally filed
		a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3	. 🗆	☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ ar	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4	Su	d not b ppleme th th th th th th th th a	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).  The description, pages the claims, Nos.  The drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify):  The sequence listing (specify):  The sequence listing (specify):  The sequence of these sheets may be marked "superseded."
	*	TI :	ttem a applies, bome of the first fi

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/009598

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 12

No: Claims 1-11,13-22

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-22 (insofar as novel)

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/JP2004/009598

1). Herbicidal compositions comprising a herbicidal sulfonylurea and an alkoxylated glyceride, in particular a polyoxyethylene (optionally hydrogenated) castor oil, have been disclosed in all documents cited X in the International Search Report as can be seen from the specific citations in the ISR, especially the examples.

The subject-matter of present claims 1 - 11 and 13 - 22 hence is not novel with respect to the citations of the ISR (Art. 33 (2) PCT).

The fact that other formulation ingredients, such as dicarboxylic acid esters (GB 2 309 904 (= D1)) or particular solvent systems and/or further surfactants (WO 98/16102 A1 (= D2), WO 00/25586 A1 (= D3)), EP 598 515 A1 (= D4) and EP 313 317 A2 (= D5)) are present in the formulations of the prior art is not relevant since the claimed compositions also envisage the presence of such further ingredients (see, for example, present claims 10 and 11 and the description, page 19 - 23, disclosing various additives including ingredients of the formulations of the prior art.)

It is further pointed out, that it is well-known in the art, that addition of surfactants generally increases the effect of herbicides. The subject-matter of present claims 18 and 19 hence is anticipated by D1 - D5 as well.

- 2). a). The subject-matter of claim 12 is novel, since a combination of sulfonylurea, alkoxylated glyceride and a coadjuvant selected from a chelating agent and a nitrogen-containing fertilizer has not been disclosed in the prior art. It is, however, not clear which particular technical problem has been solved in a non-obvious way since further addition of such adjuvants is common practice in the art. Claim 12 hence is not considered to be based on an inventive step (Art. 33 (3) PCT).
- b). Even if the claims were formulated in such a way, that they are clearly and unequivocally distinct from the contents of D1, their subject-matter would not be considered inventive since no surprising effects as compared to the effects of compositions based on castor oil derivatives have been demonstrated. It is evident from D1, that it is known in the art that ethoxylated castor oil is the preferred alkoxylated glyceride for use in sulfonylurea formulations (D1: page 4, line 29/30). The formulations of D2 D5 also show, that ethoxylated castor oil is a preferred nonionic surfactant for sulfonylurea formulations.

It would be obvious to replace the castor oil derivatives of the formulations of D1 - D6 by other, closely analogous alkoxylated glycerides, such as those disclosed in D1, page

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/009598

4 from line 5 onwards.

3). The number of 8 independent method claims (for controlling undesired plants) (claims 13 - 17 and 20 - 22) is too high in view of the requirements of conciseness (Art. 6 PCT; see also Guidelines WIPO 5.13 and 5.42).

Method claims 14 - 17 and 20 - 22 hence should be reformulated as claims dependent on claim 13.

The present formulation of claim 22 suggests that after application of a diluted sulfonylurea-glyceride formulation, an additional amount of alkoxylated glyceride is applied to the undesired plants. The intended scope of protection of this claim hence is ambiguous (Art. 6 PCT).